Lawrence D. Murray (SBN 77536) **MURRAY & ASSOCIATES** 1781 Union Street San Francisco, CA 94123 Tel:(415) 673-0555 Fax: (415) 928-4084 2 Attorneys For Plaintiffs 3 DENNIS J. HERRERA (SBN 139669) City Attorney 4 ELIZABETH S. SALVESON (SBN 83788) 5 Chief Labor Attorney MARGARET BAUMGARTNER (SBN 151762) 6 RAFAL OFIERSKI (SBN 194798) 7 Deputy City Attorneys Fax Plaza 8 1390 Market Street, Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 554-3800 Fax: (415) 554-4248 10 Attorneys For Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NÓRTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 MERCY AMBAT et al. No. Case No. C-07-3622 SI 16 (including No: C-08-2406 SI & C-09-2652 SI) Plaintiffs. 17 VS. STIPULATION FOR ORDER AND ORDER 18 PERMITTING EXTENSION ON DISCLOSURE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO. OF EXPERT WITNESSES AND EXCHANGE 19 OF EXPERT WITNESS REPORTS 20 Defendant. 21 22 23 Plaintiffs and Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, by and through their 24 respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and request the Court to further modify the Pre-Trial 25 Scheduling Order by extending: (a) Exchange of all Expert Witness Reports shall occur One (1) week prior to the date of the first scheduled 26 Expert Witness' deposition in this matter. 27 28

Ambat et al. v. CCSF et al.; United States District Court, Northern District of Calif. Case No. C 07-3622 SI Page I STIPULATION FOR ORDER AND ORDER PERMITTING FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S THIRD AMENDED & CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1.1

Ambat et al. v. CCSF et al.; United Sta

Disclosure of the identity of Expert Witnesses shall still occur on October 30, 2009. Furthermore, the motions for summary judgment are scheduled to be filed no later than January 8, 2010, the opposition is due by January 22, 2010, the reply is due January 29,2010, and hearing on the summary judgment no later than February 12, 2010. Jury trial in this case is currently scheduled for March 29, 2010. None of these dates need be changed.

Good cause exists to change the disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Expert Witness Reports due date because completion of discovery is still ongoing and the parties have been unable to complete the Court ordered inspection of County Jail #8 for the purposes of taking measurements and assessing sight lines. The completion of this inspection is required in order to obtain information necessary for completing the Expert Witness Reports.

For this reason, the parties respectfully request the Court modify the Pre-Trial Scheduling Order so that expert disclosure conformed to the foregoing new dates.

Geree

Date: October 28, 2009

Lawrence D. Murray

Attorney for Plaintiffs

MURRAY & ASSOCIATES

SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY OFFICE

Margaret Baumgurther

Attorney for Defendant City and County of San

Francisco

Ambat et al. v. CCSF et al.; United States District Court, Northern District of Calif. Case No. C 07-3622 SI Page 2 STIPULATION FOR ORDER AND ORDER PERMITTING FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S THIRD AMENDED & CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

## P.04

1.

5 Date:

ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR ORDER

HAVING READ AND CONSIDERED THE FOREGOING, and good cause appearing:

The foregoing is the order of the court.

Suran Illaton

SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Ambat et al. v. CCSF et al.; United States District Court, Northern District of Calif. Case No. C 07-3622 SI Page 3 STIPULATION FOR ORDER AND ORDER PERMITTING FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S THIRD AMENDED & CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF